

Final

MINUTES OF THE 10th MEETING OF PROGRAMME MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE (PMC) HELD AT 1530 HRS ON NOVEMBER 11, 2011 AT COMMITTEE ROOMS, LBSNAA, MUSSOORIE

The following members were present:

- (i) Sh. Padamvir Singh, Director, LBSNAA, Mussoorie
- (ii) Sh. K.T. Chacko, Director, Institute of Foreign Trade, New Delhi
- (iii) Dr. HP Dixit, former VC, IGNOU
- (iv) Sh. PK Gera, Joint Director, LBSNAA, Mussoorie
- (v) Sh. Sanjeev Chopra, Joint Director, LBSNAA, Mussoorie

Prof. Gyanendra Badgaiyan, Ms. Jaspreet Talwar, Senior Deputy Director and Dr. Prem Singh, Deputy Director, LBSNAA, Mussoorie assisted the Committee.

Shri Prajapati Trivedi, Secretary, Performance Management, Gol sent his comments on the various agenda items through email which were placed before the members of the committee and the members took note of the suggestions, when each of the agenda items was discussed.

At the outset, the Director welcomed the members to the meeting. Thereafter, the Committee took up the Action Taken Report of the 9th PMC Meeting and the items listed on the agenda. After detailed deliberations, the following decisions were taken on each of the agenda items:

Agenda Item No.1: Confirmation of minutes of the 9th meeting

The Committee confirmed the minutes of the 9th meeting of the PMC held on October 7, 2011.

Agenda Item No. 2: Presentation on Phase-IV (Round VI) of the MCT Programme held from August 22 to October 14, 2011

The PMC members were happy with the overall assessment of the Round VI of the Phase IV Programme. The overall feedback was 89.87%. The members applauded the LBSNAA for the excellent performance and also appreciated the fact that the average feedback of the LBSNAA faculty was much higher than the guest faculty during the Phase-IV. The feedback of IIM,

Ahmedabad has also improved since the last round and they have achieved 77% as the benchmark set for them was 75%.

The Joint Director also briefed the members about the foreign exposure visit of the Phase-IV to IPAC, Canada. The feedback report sent by IPAC was perused and the feedback and the response of the participants were found to be satisfactory. IPAC has suggested the "Down Time" that is the two and half days left unscheduled could be built into the study tour agenda. Given sufficient lead time IPAC could arrange peer to peer meetings for some individuals or small groups. This suggestion was agreed to by the PMC members. The Joint Director further mentioned that IPAC had requested that in future smaller groups should be brought in for the programme. The PMC members discussed this issue and it was decided to continue to send the Phase-IV participants to Canada for their Foreign Exposure Visit. They further felt that since the experience with IPAC had been very satisfactory the Academy may continue to send the entire group of Phase-IV participants to Canada, provided the IPAC is willing to coordinate the visit. They also recommended that the next Course Coordinator for Phase-IV Shri Gyanendra Badgaiyan may take up the issue of splitting the group into two locations within Canada, in case it is not feasible for IPAC to conduct the programme for the entire group.

The suggestions made by Shri Prajapati Trivedi to create a database of speakers/guest faculty which can be access by name or subject as also to have a direct evaluation of each course objective were unanimously agreed to by the members of the PMC. The End of Course Feedback of the MCT programmes would be suitably amended to include direct evaluation of course objectives. The Director informed that the database of visiting faculty is being maintained in the Academy but needs to be easily accessible to all, therefore necessary changes in software would be made.

Agenda Item No. 3: Location where the foreign exposure visits are to be done for MCT Programmes in 2012

1. Phase-III

The Joint Director Shri Sanjeev Chopra briefed the members that the Academy would be sending the Phase-III participants to the Korea Development Institute, Korea for their Foreign Exposure Visit since there is good learning from the programme and it has stabilized over the years. The members agreed to the same.

2. Phase-IV

The members agreed that since the experience with IPAC, Canada has been satisfactory, the Academy should consolidate upon the learnings. However, due to logistic problems in case it is not possible for IPAC to host the full group, the Course Coordinator may take up with IPAC

